

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

WILLIAM HALL,

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 08 Civ. 03518 (GBD)

- against -

**ANSWER**

MAERSK LINE, LIMITED, and  
U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION as  
OWNER TRUSTEE,

Defendants.

-----X

Defendant, MAERSK LINE, LIMITED (“MLL”) as and for its Answer to the Complaint,  
by its attorneys, Kenny, Stearns & Zonghetti, state upon information and belief as follows:

1. Defendant MLL does not dispute this Court’s subject matter jurisdiction over the within matter.
2. There is no Paragraph No. 2 contained in Plaintiff’s Complaint.
3. Defendant MLL denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff’s Complaint.
4. There are no allegations as to Defendant MLL contained in Paragraph 4 of Plaintiff’s Complaint.
5. Defendant MLL denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of Plaintiff’s Complaint.

6. Defendant MLL admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
7. Defendant MLL admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
8. Defendant MLL admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
9. Defendant MLL admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
10. Defendant MLL admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
11. Defendant MLL admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
12. There are no allegations as to Defendant MLL contained in Paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
13. There are no allegations as to Defendant MLL contained in Paragraph 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
14. Defendant MLL denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

15. Defendant MLL denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

16. Defendant MLL denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

17. Defendant MLL denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

ANSWERING PLAINTIFFS' SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

18. MLL repeats and realleges each and every ADMISSION, DENIAL and DENIAL OF KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT TO FORM A BELIEF AS TO THE TRUTH OF, contained in the foregoing paragraphs of this Answer with the same force and effect as is set forth at length.

19. Defendant MLL denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

20. Defendant MLL denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

AS AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

21. The Court lacks personal jurisdiction over MLL.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

22. The Southern District of New York is an improper venue and the case should be transferred to a proper venue.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

23. If plaintiff was injured as he alleges, which is denied, his injuries were caused or brought about wholly or in part by his own contributory negligence and his recovery of damages, if any, must be proportionally reduced, or offset entirely, as a result of is contributory negligence.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

24. If plaintiff was injured as he alleges, which is denied, he has a duty to mitigate his damages, and any failure of plaintiff to mitigate his damages may be introduced at trial to reduce, or offset entirely, any amounts claimed as damages.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

25. If plaintiff was injured as he alleges, which is denied, MLL may not be held liable or otherwise responsible for anything arising out of plaintiff's pre-existing injuries and/or medical conditions.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

26. Plaintiff has reached maximum medical cure.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

27. Plaintiff may not recover from MLL for the injuries he alleges to the extent such alleged injuries were caused by his own failure to perform a duty imposed upon him by his employment (breach of primary duty). As chief engineer of the ship, plaintiff bore responsibility for inspecting and correcting the condition he alleges caused his injuries. Accordingly, his conduct amounts to a breach of primary duty and his suit is barred as a result.

WHEREFORE, defendant MLL requests that an order be entered granting dismissal of the Complaint, entry of a judgment in favor of defendant, with costs, disbursements and attorneys' fees and for any further relief that the Court may deem to be just proper.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 30, 2008

KENNY, STEARNS & ZONGHETTI, LLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Maersk Lines, Ltd.

By: /s/ Noreen D Arralde

Noreen D. Arralde (NA 7723)

26 Broadway  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 422-6111

TO: TABAK, MELLUSI & SHISHA  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
29 Broadway  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 962-1590

Attn: Jacob Shisha, Esq.